

Enhancing Capacity Building Opportunities In Civilizations, Indigenous Knowledge And Globalization: Dynamism In Aso Oke Production In Yorubaland, Nigeria

Adu, Funmilayo Modupe (Ph.D.)

Department of History and International Studies,
Faculty of Arts,
Ekiti State University, Ado Ekiti.

Abstract

To enhance a civilizational achievement and improve capacity building opportunities, it is important to further exploit the globalization advantages, a process that has brought dynamism to the production of *Asooke* in Yoruba land, Nigeria. This paper examines the institutions for the production of *AsoOke*, the Yoruba end product of the weaving industry to explore the nexus between local knowledge and that of the wider world in a fusion of civilization attainments resultant from globalization developments. The wide fire effect of globalization has not been limited to the internal environment of the state, but has impacted local knowledge, implicated in the porosity of state and has taken local indigenous technological innovations into international dimensions never experienced prior to this new phenomenon. These impacts have not been limited to the area of production, designing (creativity), distribution and innovative resolutions in dimensions that has further modernized the *asooke* industry, but has further impacted the use of computer in designing, embroidery applications and *lacing* (word coinage mine to describe made holes with embroidered edges to form lace patterns) and stoning (application of creative and decorative stones) amongst other new interventions, ensuring an increased acceptability and promoting the popularity of *asooke* beyond the shores of Nigeria. The paper concludes that local knowledge as explicit in the practice of indigenous institutions (and civilizations) is an important aspect in capacity building and play an important role for sustaining a balanced and acceptable socio economic environment further impacted by globalization to promote industrialization and development in Nigeria.

Keywords: Capacity Building, Indigenous Knowledge, Globalization, Aso Oke Production, Nigeria

INTRODUCTION

To enhance capacity building opportunity in an African civilization in the area of globalized impute, post- independence Nigeria experienced cultural revival and nationalistic tendencies which led to a resurgence in the revival of indigenous technologies, which globalized impacts has projected into a world class acceptance with growing popularity. *AsoOke* is a Yoruba traditional hand-woven cloth of high repute. *Asooke* technology pre dated the arrival of European contact but was positively impacted by initial colonial policy; this initial gain was lost in a change of policy, subsequent upon the need to discourage production in the attempt to protect European goods (Ajayi, 2000). This paper examines the institutions for the production of *AsoOke*, the Yoruba end product of the weaving industry to explore the nexus between local knowledge and that of the wider world

in a fusion of civilization attainments resultant from globalization developments. It is difficult for local knowledge to distance itself from external contact with the wildfire effect of globalization. Scholars conclude that globalization has turned the world into a global village. This is a truism as there is no free space to the reach of networking through technological available such as telephones, internet, electronic media, fast transportation facilities and other limitless technological facilities. Local technologies such as pottery, blacksmithing, palm oil production, *garri* processing and others have been impacted variedly by technology advantages, increasing production, enhancing capacity building opportunities and adding to the finesse of the end product and most importantly , promoting easy sales and distribution.

The impact of globalization on indigenous knowledge has not spared any civilization. Spanning Europe, Asia, the Americas, and the Arab world, globalization has had both positive and negative impacts on local knowledge. Most importantly, it has benefitted local indigenous knowledge and enhanced capacity building opportunities through cross cutting edge ideas. It has internationalized awareness of local produce and increased the marketing of such goods to areas beyond the shores of the homeland. The Yoruba *Asooke* is not left out of this dynamism. From production to distribution, *Asooke* technology has experienced a new life in innovative input of ideas and machines that impacted every stage of its relevance. The paper purported that local knowledge, as explicit in the practice of indigenous institutions play important roles for sustaining a balanced and acceptable socio economic environment, as impacted by globalization to promote industrialization, encourage and increase capacity building opportunities and promote economic development in Nigeria.

This is an environment in Nigeria's development intervention that has not been fully researched into. This paper is not gender restricted nor discusses conflict issues in local industrial processes, the paper focused on the new trends in modernization of *asooke*, a development consequent upon globalization impacts and the promotion of capacity building opportunities in the Yoruba cultural environment and beyond. *AsoOke* is taken to a higher dimension in aesthetics and quality, with enlargement in industrial input to expend human resources and further promote this indigenous technology to further impact the development in the locale. The paper presents information on new dynamism in *asooke* production and marketing consequent upon the globalization advantages. The paper is subsequently divided into conceptual clarifications, Enhancing Capacity Building Opportunities, Indigenous Knowledge and Globalization, History of *AsoOke* Production in Yoruba Land, Nigeria, Modernization in the Production of *Asooke* in Yoruba Land: An Aspect in Capacity Building Opportunities, Globalization and Current Trend in *Asooke* Production and Conclusion.

Conceptual Clarifications

A WESTAT CIPP internet document(2015) describe Capacity building as an intervention that strengthens an organization's ability to fulfill its mission by promoting sound management, strong governance, and persistent rededication to achieving results. It is further noted that having the ability to fulfill a capacity building mission means that an organization has (a) sufficient numbers of staff who possess the necessary knowledge and skills, (b) appropriate and adequate technical and management systems, (c) suitable physical infrastructure, and (d) ample financial and other resources. Thus, capacity building

is not limited to training personnel or the provision of technical advice, but may include overhauling systems, remodeling physical infrastructure, recruiting new personnel, and improving the efficiency of the use of existing resources (Wing, 2004).

Indigenous Knowledge systems (IKS) are knowledge unique to a given culture or society. The widespread study of Indigenous Knowledge systems accept the idea that development efforts that ignore local circumstances, local technologies, and local systems of knowledge have wasted enormous amount of time and resources and would yield little success. This traditional approach usually examines problems in their entirety, together with their linkages and complexities relying on traditional values and the people, the culture, the knowledge they possess, their way of life etc. Globalization however hypes the importance and network of interchange. Information is diffused from one state or country to another and the influence and impact does not allow retention of local uniqueness. But in some instances the outcome of a newer version is better acceptable.

The first acceptable characteristic of indigenous knowledge is the component of knowledge from previous generations. Woodley (1991) noted that, the knowledge set is influenced by the previous generation's observations and experiment and provides an inherent connection to ones surroundings and environment. The knowledge is characteristic of the local climate, flora and fauna, and cultural traits (Woodley, *ibid*). It is further asserted that, indigenous knowledge systems is not transferable but provides relationship that connects people directly to their environment and the changes that occur within it. Further, indigenous knowledge systems is learned and identified by communities and people within a cultural context (Fields, 1991 and Bebbington, 1991). These scholars (*ibid*) noted emphatically that, this knowledge base is transcribed and understood by participants through actions, such as, production, methods, verbal; through sayings and myths or cultural events which are unique to the community and environment.

It is a muted idea that, with the advent of globalization, many subsistence societies are fusing modern technologies with their traditional practices- Therefore knowledge systems in a locale are influenced by "immutable mobiles" and adapted ...many cultures adapt certain aspects of this knowledge base to the characteristic in the zone (Gade, 1992, Bebbington, 1991). The final method of modifying local knowledge systems is with the incorporation of ideas into existing knowledge system. *AsoOke* production in Yoruba land as understood by participants through actions such as production methods and cultural events which are

unique to the community and environment follow these adaptations. The advent of globalization has further enabled the fusing of modern technologies with this traditional production practices and has become adapted. Modern ideas are also incorporated into existing knowledge systems to produce a new version that has further developed the economic interest of the industry and enhanced the capacity building opportunities of the local dwellers. A Modern conception of *asooke* relates to the contemporary conception. This is in comparison to the old /ancient or previous and different in terms of applications of accessories and sometimes design. Modernity is perceived in the context of change from an older trend to a more contemporary acceptable pattern or designs in *asooke*: in context, its production, design and marketing strategies. The word modern in its grammatical context is defined as something or occurrence of a present or recent time or times, of styles, in art, music, fashion, new and intended to be different from traditional styles. Modernity connotes contemporary, the condition of being new or modern.

In defining globalization, various scholars have acceded to the idea of space/time compression (Brown, 1995, Anderson and O'Dowd, 1999). In modern and postmodern literature, technology in its various forms is necessary for development and has accelerated the varied conceptions of globalization of international relations especially in the area of economic relations. The globalization of different aspects of state and society in its various manifestations would not have occurred without the input of scientific and technological and accompanying structural changes. Pearson and Payaslian (1999) explained that science and technology are said to be inherently transnational, integral to the internationalization of the economic transformation of states especially through the influence of telecommunications technologies.

Orubuloye (2005) agrees with Grint on the fact that globalization is the capitalist enterprise envisioning the whole world as a unified system of the area and the peripheries, with the peripheries aspiring to adopt the political economy of the former and with the gradual internationalization of the Euro-American socio-political, economic and technological cultures. It is further explained as the gradual connection between different societies (Grint, 1998:28) (Mc Michael, 1996:38 cited in Orubuloye, 2005).

Assessing the politics of globalized science and technology, Pearson and Payaslian (1999) asserted that a fact of modern and "post- modern" life lay emphasis on the positive impact of technology on economic development, while further explaining that telecommunication technologies have accelerated the globalization of international relations, albeit in the

constant promotion of indigenous knowledge networking. In line with this, they reiterated that; The globalization of the world political economy in its various manifestations ... would not have occurred without the scientific and technological and accompanying structural changes experienced at least since the emergence of the modern nation state in the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries (Pearson and Payaslian, 1999:271-275).

Pearson and Payaslian (1999) further emphasized that, from the Nation state perspective, the centrality of science and technology in modern political economy is problematic. Weighing a two side to the coin, they argued:

On the one hand, science and technology, are the foundations for the structures of national industrial production, capital, and information capabilities, all of which contribute to national economic and military power and security; yet in facilitating the internationalization of economic relations, they also challenge the sovereignty of the nation-state. (Pearson and Payaslian, 1999: 271-30).

The impact of technology has also influenced the interactions from outside the state, Cuko and Traore (2011) supported this view, when they noted that: "The cumulative effect of transnational science and technology (also referred to as globalization) has been to deterritorialise some of the activities and processes that influence our life in contemporary states". The time space compress and comparison with its localizing effect has created the possibility of instant communication between any one place on the earth's surface and any other. (Taylor, 2005:17-18).

Cultural homogenization is also a popularly acclaimed area of impact, the convergence in lifestyle and cultural values, icons and designs, similar food, and clothing (Taylor, 2005:19, 20). Globalization is an ideology that re-enacts a dictatorial unipolarism (Sara Cuko and Traore, 2011:6). They (ibid) insist that, it is the dramatic transformation in information and communication technology, in transport, financial and human mobility, and the economic restructuring reducing the significance of state borders. It is the gradual connection between different societies (Grint, 1998). The UNDP (1999) adopts the view that globalization is the growing interdependence of the world's people through "shrinking space, shrinking time and disappearing borders". This connotes an instantaneous satellite communication networking and a dramatic advancement in communication information technology and transportation amongst others. Adefolaju (2005) commented further that globalization therefore offers great opportunities for enriching peoples' lives and creating a global community based on shared values. It translates to

the integration of economic, political, civil and technological structures around the world (Umukoro, 2002). Adefolaju reiterated further that, it was the response of states to the apparent inadequacy of nation states to tackle many contemporary issues facing them (Adefolaju, 2005:139). It is also defined as a process fuelled by and resulting in, increasing cross-border flows of goods, services, money, people, information and culture. This is global interdependence amongst actors (individual, groups, and nations) and mutual awareness among economic, political, and social units in the world.

In the instance of this research (i.e the Nigerian case study), the influence of technology in indigenous *asooke* production and distribution is crucial in giving a transnational dimension to internal affairs of the state in respect of economic issues of trade. The internet provides a transnational communication device to promote economic network and encourage cultural and technological borrowings in production processes and design. It is important to highlight that there are divergent views from schools of thought regarding the concept of globalization which range from realist, liberals to historical structuralisms. The point of diversions however, is that the realist acknowledges the increasing pace of globalization which is at the instance of the most powerful states (Boyer and Drache, 1996, Hirst and Thompson, 1999). Liberals, in contrast, believe globalization, at a significant level, is eroding state control, but the growth of global interdependence is positive – thus, they highlight the importance of technological changes and advances in communication and transportation that are beyond state control. They (liberals) emphasize societal actors such as transnational firms and domestic citizens (Milner, 1988). This is referred to as a focus on the “borderless world” – a world in which obvious market forces influence issues far more than National government (Ohmae, 1990, 1995). In the instance of the liberals, it is believed that there is a significant level of globalized state control erosion, but the growth of global interdependence is a positive development. To this group, technological change and advances in communications and transportation that are beyond state control is the main focus.

Liberals see globalization as leading to a “borderless world” in which market forces are superior to National governments (Ohmae, 1990, 1995). Historical structuralisms argue that though globalization is having a significant positive impact, it has extremely negative consequences for the poorer states and classes in the periphery of the global economy. The dependency school of economics agrees with the analysis of Steven and David, (1993) that globalization is leading to the development of a “transnational historical block” composed of the multinational corporations (MNCS)

International Banks, International Economic Organizations (World Bank and IMF) and International Business Group in the most powerful capitalist states. Globalization is a process of interaction and integration among the people, companies and governments of different nations, a process driven by international trade and investments and aided by information technology with effects on the environment, on culture, on political systems, on economic development, and prosperity and on human physical well-being in societies around the world (ibid). Globalization is not new however, because many of the features of the current wave of globalization are similar to those prevailing before the First World War in 1914 (ibid).

Bauman (1998) assessed globalization through the social roots and consequences of the globalizing process. For globalization, the causes of division are identical with those which promote the uniformity of the globe (Bauman, 1998: 4-10). It is a two-sided coin which appears to different people from dimensions and points of vantage. In this wise, Bauman (1998) noted “what appears as globalization for some means localization for others signaling a new freedom for some, upon many others it descends as an uninvited and cruel fate. Adu further reiterated Baumans argument inter alia, analyzing the spate of the historically changing nature of time and space within the premise of pattern and scale of social organization, the stages of development from the past to present within the modern pattern of social control, the idea of political sovereignty under conditions of globalized economy, finance and information, the cultural consequences of the transformations in globalization present itself. Adeyanju (2006) noted that state territoriality is being reconfigured by issues of globalized capital market operations, the integrated system of production and consumption, international labour mobility, diminishing state control of flows across its boundaries, among other issues(ibid:141-142).

Enhancing Capacity Building Opportunities, Indigenous Knowledge and Globalisation

The enhancement of capacity building opportunities within civilizations results in dynamic interventions that create new innovations and further enhance development. *Capacity building has earlier been described as an intervention that strengthens an organization’s ability to fulfill its mission by promoting sound management, strong governance, and persistent rededication to achieving results (Westat, ibid).* This is noted in *AsoOke* production in Yoruba land as indigenous Knowledge is enhanced by globalization advantages. The era of globalization on a note of reconsideration, could be described as the era of celebration of scientific knowledge. Glorification of scientific knowledge is properly celebrated in the porosity of information; secrecy of

information is now relegated to the environment of the archives. Scientific knowledge is shared with a minute by minute advantage by internet users. Sending of information is also done at a speed of light. Theft of scientific information in cyber-crime is not found wanting among researchers and even nation states in a celebrated race of research competition. Indigenous knowledge in its original conception belongs to the environment of the local. Indigenous knowledge is the development that has a cultural orientation and has participation within a particular culture, nation and geographical environment. This development is usually historically based and has existed for centuries with a particular people. This information or knowledge however probably had, to an extent been contained in this cultural domain, but due to the advantages of globalization, such as in the use of the internet, fast transportation, the electronic media and other technological usable, such knowledge finds its way into other cultures and environment. The study of this knowledge sharing is what is called indigenous knowledge systems and is a contemporary research environment. The impact of globalization on indigenous knowledge can only be best appreciated in the future, when as portended by scholars there would have been a tendency towards a diffusion of cultures. Local Indigenous knowledge would also have transformed into a new knowledge, probably more refined, more technology integrated, and better widely accepted. This in a beautiful caption is an *enhancement in capacity building*, as the society is open to new opportunities in civilizational attainment.

HISTORY OF ASO OKE PRODUCTION IN YORUBALAND, NIGERIA

Traditional Yoruba spatial conceptions attest to the idea that the evolution of the weaving industry in Nigeria must have resulted from the need to cover the body for protection and aesthetics. The weaving industry was an important economic resource, a means of adornment and an art for the Yoruba cultural group. Ajayi(2005:73) insists that, weaving was not simply an industry, or a trade, but a technology evolved by the people in response to the demands of their environment. Traditional weaving was done within the household, involving majorly women and in eastern Yoruba land men produced at commercial levels and also the children who assisted in the winding of yarn. Pre-colonial weaving industry manufactured for immediate local consumption and few sales transcending the annexing markets in neighboring towns and villages. This trend has become internationalized in the era of globalization and has improved on capacity building locally and internationally in the area of production and marketing of *asooke*.

The weaving industry spread from the dying Sudanese empires such as Mali where a cotton

industry existed in the 14th century (ibid). The 16th century showed widespread cotton farming across Yoruba land and Nigeria. This product attained international reputation as exportation of cotton was already a common trade before the advent of the Europeans explorers and traders (Ajayi, ibid). For centuries a well-organized weaving, spinning and dyeing industry grew in intensity. The weaving processes involved collection of fiber, soaking, cleaning and spinning and weaving. Dyeing is a second process sometimes handled by another artisan. Dyes are extracted from plants and must be well prepared to ensure its quality. Interestingly, the quality of textile influenced by early European contact attracted orders from private individuals in the United States, the United Kingdom the Jos Museum and experimental orders for UAC car seat cover production in Nigeria (ibid). The increasing competition with European textile made the colonial government present a lackadaisical attitude towards encouraging further growth in production. The increase in demand for European fabrics killed the fledgling industry in this early period.

The post-colonial era (after independence) saw a revival in the industry. The survival of the weaving industry was dependent on patronage. The industry however maintained a strong survival spirit as ceremonial wears retained a pride of place among the Yoruba. This trend continued into the expanded and reintroduction of *Asoebi*. The modernization of *asooke* into several finely refined items, of a wide variety, with a quality to gain international repute has brought a renaissance of this important industry. The beautiful array of colours and varieties in festivals and family functions attest to the growing reputation of the *asooke*. European shopping malls adorn cities such as New York, London and Dubai as evidence of the growing reputation. This has expanded individual and group capacity building and increased opportunities in several aspects of the weaving industry, such as tread making, dyeing, and weaving and in recent times, stoning, adorning and sales across continents.

Modernisation In The Production Of Aso Oke In Yorubaland: An Aspect In Capacity Building Opportunities

The Yoruba textile industry has existed from time immemorial. Pre-colonial *Asooke* production served the varied needs of the Yoruba society. In the post-colonial period, and with the advent of globalized technological advantages, *asooke* production, marketing and usages have been positively impacted upon, expanding the industry within and outside the country, and enlisting it as a local industry of repute and a contributor to the country's GDP and national development.

The modernization of *asooke* production has encompassed the intervention of finely produced treading loom (in the past hand spinning of wool through the thread loom was the order of the day (Adu, the author had witnessed the local spinning of wool from the harvested cotton by her grandmother) also the local weaving procedure using what is locally termed *apasaor* vertical loom was later replaced by the horizontal loom. Industrial production of tread has increased availability and promoted quality. It has given opportunity to produce more and better varieties. Dying is now processed through industrial manipulations (in the ancient times, few colours such as white black and blue were available). Presently, there are several colours and shades, provided to meet individual and group interest to ease patronage.

Production techniques have also been improved upon as the wooding threading loom was switched to a metal addition technology and presently industrial machines are in use. Further, some of these threads are imported from China. Cloth weaving was a technology evolved by the people of Yorubaland as a response to the demands of the tribal environment. From time immemorial, spinning, dying and weaving was usually done by one person. This was because subsistence production was minimal to the local population. With trade and technology, units of production could sometimes be given to sections of the industry. For example, thread making industries provide colourful treads already in spinned balls ready for weaving. The intricately designed *asooke* is evidence of high level production. In the pre-colonial days, different materials, machinery and terms of labour were utilized. Ajayi (in Olaoye, undated) emphasized that,

Indeed, technology was involved in various processes in manufacturing fabrics. The entire process of textile manufacture demanded expertise in a variety of processes, collection of fiber, soaking, cleaning, and spinning and their reconstitution and elaboration through weaving, dying involved considerable skills in the technology and chemistry of colours (Ibid :71).

Ajayi further noted that,

Dyes were extracted from barks, wood, roots, fruits and leaves. Technology was involved in the preparation of the dye and binding it to the material such that it does not damage the fiber and retains permanent coloration throughout the useful life of the fabric as skills by individual artisans, or by a group of collectivized artisans within the industrial process, artisans who apply technology to make things that become part of living, part of life. (ibid:71-72).

European hand weaving technology was introduced into Nigeria in the mid-1940s (NAI, Ondo Prof 1/1

1836B, Textile Centre, Ado Ekiti, 1949:1). This was referred to as a phase of modernization of the local textile industry. The objective was to introduce improved equipment

and new techniques to train spinners, weavers and dyers to add value to indigenous methods of cloth production. The output of this was a high quality *Asooke* which attracted orders from sources such as the United States, United Kingdom (British members of parliament) the Jos Museum, UAC Lagos (for car seats covers) etc. (See Ajayi, *ibid*). Gradual growth in demand stimulated interest in textile production generally, leading to the establishment of a Nigerian textile industry in Kano and Lagos in 1949 (See Ajayi, *ibid*: 75). These two mills were unfortunately closed down by 1951 due to some challenges of colonial policy which discouraged local technological development to prevent competition with European goods.

Increased demand for the European type fabric surpassed that of the local industry by 1952. For a new technological innovation to be internalized, it must fulfill the need of the people. Technology can only be absorbed and grow if planted within a preexisting culture. In line with this opinion Ade Ajayi (2004:160-161) argued that,

you cannot borrow some technology, you can begin by imitating some aspects of other people's technology, you can even try to transfer technology, but technology cannot develop... it cannot grow outside the context of culture....the experiments, inventions and patents grow only when they are acquired.

It is important herewith to note that the failures of the colonial textile scheme was a success in the post-independence era as *asooke* production has achieved an enviable position in the satisfaction of the locale and export trade (in the era of globalization) thus positively impacting capacity building opportunities by providing job opportunities in its production and distribution aspects and enhancing economic development in the country.

Globalisation And Current Trend In Aso Oke Production

The time space compress and comparison with its localizing effect has created the possibility of instant communication between any one place on the earth's surface and any other. (Taylor, 2005:17-18). Globalization advantage can only be weighed when evaluated from the perspective of the impact on production, designing, sales and promotion of this culturally inestimable and unique attire.

Globalization has ensured that cultural homogenization is also a popularly acclaimed area of impact, the convergence in lifestyle and cultural values, icons and designs, similar food, and clothing

(Taylor, 2005:19, 20). This trend is presented in the donning of traditional Yoruba attire by Diasporas to depict the respected value placed on such attires (Adu, 2016)(interestingly, films of international repute also show African attires and importantly the asooke, to give it a pride of place in the cultural milieu). Shops are opened in Dubai and other European locations to provide avenues of purchase to Nigerians and foreigners alike.

The use of technology in designing is also an important aspect of the new trend. Pictures of patterns can be transferred to several locations for easy access to create multiplicity in designs. New and complex patterns can be manipulated using the computer, before such patterns can be attempted manually. Colour matching is another important area whereby the computer has rendered some functional usages. Asooke production now cuts across the usage in production of bags, shoes, stools, car seats, tables and chairs, artworks and other decorations.

The marketing of asooke, like other items, are now available on the web. Orders can be placed and payments made within a by minute transaction to prompt delivery. Advertisement on the web is also of good advantage. Advertised samples can be placed on the pages of the facebook, whatshapp, Youtube and other platforms, for to be patronage sampling. Advertisement as to shop or sales place locations are also made available, price lists could also be disseminated through this medium. The necessity to visit miles, in an attempt to access the producer is no longer a thing of compulsion.

All transactions, negotiations and orders can be done without stress through the internet and telephony. Beautiful and colourful electronically presented adverts work to the advantage for sales. Availability of easy and fast transportation mediums have intensified the increasing interest in participation in this line of production and distribution. It has provided jobs for unemployed youths and other citizens, importantly adding value to capacity building and providing quality opportunity to participants in this growing industry with civilizational embellishments. In the future, drones and robotic manipulations might be of advantage in the creation of designs, mass production and even in transportation and supply.

CONCLUSION

In the enhancement of capacity building opportunities in this civilizational attainment, the Yoruba asooke has benefited from globalization advantages and has undergone a transformation that is celebrated in the cultural environment and has become internationalized in use, sales and sometimes production outside the geographical enclave of Yoruba land and Nigeria.

This paper examined the institutions for the production of *AsoOke*, the Yoruba end product of the weaving industry to explore the nexus between local knowledge and that of the wider world in a fusion of civilization attainments resultant from globalization developments. The paper concluded that local knowledge as explicit in the practice of indigenous institutions plays an important role for sustaining a balanced and acceptable socio economic environment, as impacted by globalization to promote industrialization, further enhance capacity building opportunities and encourage economic development.

REFERENCES

- Ade Ajayi, J.E (2004), "The Cultural Factor in Technological Development" in In search of the Route to National Technological Development. A Compendium of Foundation Day Lectures, FUTA ,Akure.
- Adefolaju, T. (2005), "The Cultural Dimension of Conflict and Implications for Development in Nigeria", in Agagu A.A, and Omotoso F. (ed) *Introduction to Peace and Conflict Studies, The Nigerian Perspective*, Ado-Ekiti: UNAD Press.
- Adefolaju, T. (2005), "Globalization and the Emerging New Work Patterns" in Olu Olufayo, (2005)(ed) *Perspectives on Globalization and African Development*, Ikeja, Lagos: Bolabay Publications.
- Adeyanju, C. (2006) "Yoruba – Nigerians in Toronto: Transnational Practices and Experiences", inFalola T. and Genova A. (ed), *Yoruba Identity and Power Politics*, Rochester: University of Rochester press.
- Afigbo, A.E (1980), "The Eastern Provinces under Colonial Rule" in Ikime O. (ed) *Groundwork of Nigeria History*, Ibadan; Heinemann.
- Agawal, A. (1995), "Dismantling the Divide between Indigenous and Scientific Knowledge" *Development and Change*.26.
- Aina,T. (2002), "From Colonialism to Globalization: Reflections on Issues in Transformation and Democratic Development in Africa", in Aina, Tade (eds) *Globalization and Sustainable Human Development in Nigeria*, Lagos: UNILAG.
- Aiyedun, E.A (2004), "Nature and Meaning of Globalization", in Odama J.S. and Aiyedun.E.A (eds) *Globalization and the Third World Economy, Impacts and Challenges in the 21st century*, Lagos: Malthouse Press.
- Ajayi, A.T (2005), "The Economics of Cloth Weaving in Ekitiland in the 20th Century", in Obitun, Journal of Humanities", Vol. 4. No.1, Petoa, Publisher.
- Ajayi, A.T.(2000), "The Colonial Textile Development Scheme 1945-1950: A Horoscope for Policy Making on Industrial Development in Nigeria" in R.A Olaoye (ed), *History of Indigenous Science*

- and Technology in Nigeria. CrestHill Publishers Ltd, Ibadan.
- Ajayi, J.F.A and Alagoa, E.J (1980), "Nigeria Before 1800: Aspects of Economic Developments and Inter Group Relations" in Ikime O. (ed) *Groundwork of Nigeria History*, Ibadan: Heinemann.
- Akinrinade, S. (2004) (ed), *Locating the Local in the Global: Voices on Globalised Nigeria*, Faculty of Arts, OAU, Ile-Ife: Cedar Productions.
- Akinwumi T. (2003), "Textile Craft Connections Among the Yoruba and their Neighbours in Pre Colonial Nigeria" in Akinyele, R.T(ed) *Race , Ethnicity and Nation Building in Africa*, Studies in Inter Group Relations, Lagos, Rex Charles Publications.
- Anyanwu,J.C, Oyefusi,A et al (1997), *The Structure of the Nigerian Economy (1960-1997)*, Joanee Educational Publishers, Onitsha, Nigeria.
- Bauman, Z. (1998), *Globalization, the Human Consequences*, New York: Columbia University Press.
- Boyer, D. and Drache (eds) (1996), *States Against Markets: The Limits of Globalization*, London, Routledge.
- Brown, R. (1995), "Globalization and the End of the National Project" in J. Macmillan and A.Linklater (Eds.), *Boundaries in Question: New Directions in International Relations*, London and New York: Printer Publication Ltd.
- Faluyi, E.K, (2003), " Economic Relations Between Eastern Yoruba and the Coast before 1900" in Olaoye, R.A (2002), "The Traditional; Cloth Weaving in Nigeria Before 1800, in G.O Oguntonusin and S.A Ajayi (ed) *Readings in Nigeria History and Culture*, Essays in Honour of Professor J.A.
- Faluyi, E.K, (2003), " Economic Relations Between Eastern Yoruba and the Coast before 1900" in Akinyede R.T (ed), *Race, Ethnicity and Nation Building in Africa*, Studies in Inter Group Relations, Lagos, Rex Charles Publications.
- Fields, Les. (1991), "Tools for Indigenous Agricultural Development in Latin America: An Anthropologist's Perspective" *Agriculture and Human Values*. 8
- Gade, Daniel W. (1992), *Landscape, System and Identity in the Post Conquest Andes*" *Annals of the Association of American Geographers*. 82.
- Herbst, J, (2005), "Africa and the Challenge of Globalization" Paper Presented at the Conference on Globalization and Economic Success; Policy Option for Africa. Singapore 7-8 November.
- Hickey, S. and Mohan, G. (2003), "Relocating Participation within a Radical Politics of Development: Citizenship and Critical Modernism." Draft working paper prepared for Conference on 'Participation'. From Tyranny to Transformation? Exploring New Approaches to Participation in Development' 27-28 February 2003, University of Manchester, Manchester.
- Hirst, P. and Thompson (1999), *Globalization in Question: The International Economy and the Possibilities of Governance*, Cambridge: Polity
- Iwara, A.U (2004), "Identity Politics, Globalization and Socio- Political Engineering in Nigeria", in Duro Oni, et al (eds) *Nigeria and Globalization Discourses on Identity Politics and Social Conflict*. Lagos (CBAAC).
- Legassick, M. (1976), "Perspectives on African Underdevelopment, *The Journal of African History*, Vol. 17. No.3, Cambridge University Press, <http://www.jstor.org/stable/180703> Accessed 19-08-2015. 14:10 UTC
- Majekodunmi, A and Adejuwon K (2012), "Globalization and African Political Economy: The Nigerian Experience", *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*. August, 2012, Vol. 2, No.8.ISSN 2222-6990.
- McMichael, P. (1996), "Globalization, Myth and Realities" in Roberts, T. and Hite, A. (ed) *From Modernization to Globalization*, London: Blackwell.
- McKinsey & Company. (2001). *Effective capacity building in non-profit organizations*. Washington, DC: Venture Philanthropy Partners.
- Milèn, A. (2001). *What do we know about capacity building? An overview of existing knowledge and good practice*. Geneva, World Health Organization.
- Mutethia, J. (2000), "Africa and Globalization" *The Guardian*, Aug. 15, 2000.
- NAI 1949, Ondo Prof 1/3 D .34, Development of Textile Industry.
- NAI, DCI 1/1 403 S.5,(1946), Textile Development Sectional Report,-52.
- NAI, Ondo Prof 1/1 1836B, Textile Centre, Ado Ekiti, 1949.
- NAI, Ondo Prof 1/3 D .34, Development of Textile Industry, 1949.
- NAI, RG/ x42 (1949), Statement on the Activities of the Department of Commerce and Industries.
- Olaoye, R.A (2002), "The Traditional; Cloth Weaving in Nigeria Before 1800, in G.O Oguntonusin and S.A Ajayi (ed) *Readings in Nigeria History and Culture*, Essays in Honour of Professor J.A Atanda, Ibadan , Hope Publications.
- Olufayo, O.O. (ed) (2005), *Perspectives on Globalization and Africa Development*, Lagos: Bolabay Publications.
- Orubuloye, I.O. (2005), "Globalization and the African Development" in OluOluOlufayo (ed) 2005, *Perspective on Globalization and Africa Development*, Lagos: Bolabay Productions.
- Osunade, M.A, and Adewole, (1994),"Indigenous Climate Knowledge and Agricultural Practices in Southwestern Nigeria" *Malaysian Journal of Tropical Geography*.
- Quattara, A.D (1997), " The Challenges of Globalization for Africa" Address at the Southern Africa Economic Summit Sponsored by the World Economic Forum, Harare, May 21, 1997, available online at

<http://www.imf.org/external/np/speeches/1997/052197.htm>.

Raufu, A.(2001), “See what globalization has done to us”, *Nigerian Tribune*, Tuesday,29th April.

Revision, Tuesday, June, 10, 2014.

Rugumamu, S.M (2001), *Globalization and Africa’s Future: Towards Structural Stability, Integration and Sustainable Development*. Harare: AJPS.

Smelser, N. (1964), “Towards a Theory of Modernization”, in Etzioni, A. and Etzioni, E. (eds)*Social Change; Sources, Pattern and Consequences*, New York: Basic Books.

Taylor, P. (2005), *International Organization in the Age of Globalization*, New York & London: Continuum.

The Challenge of Development: World Development Report 1991.

<https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/bitstream/handle/10986/5974/WDR%201991%20-%20English.pdf?sequence=1>

Retrieved 16th November, 2011.

Titilola, S. Oguntunji and David Marsden (1995), “Indigenous Knowledge as Reflected in Agriculture and Rural Development”. *The Culture Dimension of Development: Indigenous Knowledge Systems*, London: Intermediate Technology Publications 1995, (ed.) D.Michael Warren. L.JanSilkkerveer, and David Brokensha.

UNDP, (1999), *Human Development Report 1999*, New York.

Westat, CIPP, (2015), Conceptualizing Capacity Building, internet document, 30/3/2019. 3:30pm

Wing, K. T. (2004).Assessing the effectiveness of capacity-building initiatives: Seven issues for the field.*Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*, 33(1): 153-160.

Woodley, E. (1991),”Indigenous Ecological Knowledge Systems and Development” *Agriculture and Human Values*, 8.

Xin, R.K (1994), *Case Study Research: Design and Methods. Second Edition*, Sage Productions, London.